AMERICAN MEDICAL STUDENT ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES 2017 RESOLUTION: A6

INTRODUCED BY: Kelly Thibert, National President; H. Matthew Moy, Education and Advocacy Fellow; Smit S. Deliwala, International Trustee; Diana Huang, Vice President for Programming Development SCHOOL: Nova Southeastern University College of Osteopathic Medicine, Rosalind Franklin University of Medicine & Science - Chicago Medical School, Saint James School of Medicine, Lewis Katz School of Medicine SUBJECT: Principles regarding Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) and HIVrelated illnesses TYPE: **Resolution of Principles** WHEREAS an analysis by CDC and Department of Justice researchers found that, by 2011, a total of 67 laws explicitly focused on persons living with HIV had been enacted in 33 states (1) WHEREAS the following states have laws that prosecute individuals for criminal exposure of HIV: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Jersey, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, and Wisconsin (1) WHEREAS these laws impose criminal penalties on people living with HIV who know their HIV status and who potentially expose others to HIV (1) WHEREAS in 24 states, laws require persons who are aware that they have HIV to disclose their status to sexual partners and 14 states require disclosure to needle-sharing partners. Twenty-five states criminalize one or more behaviors that pose a low or negligible risk for HIV transmission (1) WHEREAS the majority of laws identified for the CDC analysis were passed before studies showed that antiretroviral therapy (ART) reduces HIV transmission risk and most do not account for HIV prevention measures that reduce transmission risk, such as condom use, ART, or preexposure prophylaxis (PrEP) (1)

<u>1</u> <u>2</u>

<u>3</u>

<u>5</u>

6

7

8

9

<u>10</u> 11

12

<u>13</u> <u>14</u>

<u>15</u> <u>16</u>

17

<u>18</u> 19

20

2122

<u>23</u>	
24	
25	WHEREAS the continued existence and enforcement of these types of laws [that criminalize
26	HIV infection] run counter to scientific evidence about routes of HIV transmission and may
<u> 27</u>	undermine the public health goals of promoting HIV screening and treatment (2)
28	
<u> 29</u>	WHEREAS over 40 states or territories do not have laws or regulations that protect people living
<u>30</u>	with HIV against discrimination (5)
<u>31</u>	
32 33	WHEREAS studies have shown that these laws did not deter risky behavior and may cause harm
	by interfering with public health efforts to reach those populations at highest risk of infection (3)
<u>34</u>	
<u>35</u>	WHEREAS concerns have been raised in the literature that HIV-specific criminal laws may also
<u>36</u>	increase stigma towards persons living with HIV, reduce the likelihood of disclosure to sexual or
<u>37</u>	needle-sharing partners, reduce frequency of HIV testing since knowledge of status is required
<u>38</u>	for culpability, or lead to inflammatory or ill-informed media coverage that may perpetuate
<u>39</u>	misinformation regarding modes of HIV transmission (4)
<u>40</u>	THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Principles regarding Human Immunodeficiency
41 42	Virus (HIV) and HIV-related illnesses (p. 108) be ADDENDED BY ADDITION to state:
	virus (III v) and III v Telated Timesses (p. 100) be Abbel Abeb B1 Abbillion to state.
43 44	2. In regard to discrimination and stigma:
<u>45</u>	2. In regard to discrimination and sugmit.
46	D.
47	SUPPORTS efforts regarding dismantling criminal prosecution of individuals for HIV-related
48	offenses, as such legislation contributes to stigma towards persons living with HIV
49	
<u>50</u>	URGES review of federal and state laws that criminalize HIV-related offenses
<u>51</u>	
<u>51</u> <u>52</u> <u>53</u>	
	FISCAL NOTE: None
<u>54</u> <u>55</u>	
<u>55</u>	References:
<u>56</u>	(1) http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/policies/law/states/exposure.html
<u>57</u>	(2) The White House Office of National AIDS Policy (July 2010). "National HIV/AIDS Strategy

- (2) The White House Office of National AIDS Policy (July 2010). "National HIV/AIDS Strategy
 for the United States" (PDF). p. 35.
- (3) Burris S, Beletsky L, Burleson J, Case P, Lazzarini Z. Do criminal laws influence HIV risk
 behavior? An empirical trial. Ariz St L J. 2007;39:467–517.
- 61 (4) Lehman, J. Stan, et al. "Prevalence and Public Health Implications of State Laws that
- 62 Criminalize Potential HIV Exposure in the United States." Springer Link. N.p., June 2014. Web.
- 63 6 July 2016. 63 6 July 2016. 63 6 July 2016. <a href="http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s

- 64 (5) Global Criminalisation Scan. http://criminalisation.gnpplus.net/node/1641
- <u>65</u> (6) http://projects.propublica.org/tables/penalties